	Consistent	Stakeholder	Assurances	Availability	Cost ¹
	w/ CALFED	support	Potential	of funding	
Market acquisition of					

water/incentives

Purchase reduced demand ²	+		0	0	
Long-term deals for long term water purch.		?3			?
Long-term deals for short term options	+	?	o	0	?
Short-term purchase program	+	?	+	0	?
Project water purchases Purchase USBR 215 water Purchase DWR interruptible water Purchase tumback water	+	+ Conditional on timing of pumping	+	O	10-20/af
Purchase releases from hydro producers	?+	1,3	0-	0	?
Time-based pricing ⁵				T	
Incentives for GW banking and exchange	+	0 Depends on Ops	+	0	?
Acquisition of level 4 refuges supplies for banking ⁶	+	+	+	0	?
Increased usage of Colorado R. water via conj. use or financial incentives ⁷	+	+	NA	+	?
Upstream purchases (w/ or w/o operational shifts)	+	?	+ short term 0 long term	0	?

	Implementab lility) -	Water Supply Benefits
Market acquisition of water/incentives		_		

Purchase reduced demand		T	1		
Long-term deals for long term water purch.]o+	3-5	o	+	o
Long-term deals for short term options	0+	3-5	О	+	lo
Short-term purchase program	+	1-3	+	+	lo
Project water purchases Purchase USBR 215 water Purchase DWR interruptible water Purchase turnback water	+ But only if storage can be accessed	1-2	+	0+8	Get from models. <100kaf
Purchase releases from hydro producers	0-	3-5	0-	?8	?
Time-based pricing			1		
Incentives for GW banking and exchange	0-	3-5	+	+	?
Acquisition of level 4 refuges supplies for banking	+	1-3	+	?	<250 kaf
Increased usage of Colorado R. water via conj. Use or financial incentives		3-5	+	+	? < 4.4 maf - Rights
Upstream purchases ¹⁰ (w/ or w/o operational shifts)	+ short term 0 long term	1-3 short 3-5 long	0	011	?

¹ Many of these costs may be estimated in the CVPIA PEIS.
² Assumed to be environmental purchases south of the Delta only. Purchases by water users are already Assumed to be environmental purchases south of the Delta only. Furchases by water users are a ongoing.

3 Stakeholder support contingent upon structure of CALFED water transfer package

4 Assumed opposition from downstream users, some enviros, recreational users

5 Covered by Incentives for GW banking and level 4 categories. Therefore not scored.

6 Unclear what this is. Assumed involves placement of level 4 water into storage ahead of need.

Not clear what CALFED could add to existing processes. Assumed that intent is to retain full aqueduct.

⁸ Low Impact at worst. If dedicated for enviro benefits e.g., to produce diversion timing shift, then positive.

Depends on operations, when water water is moved, etc.

Depends on operations, when water water is moved, etc.

Implementability of transfers will depend on the details. SOD to SOD xfers may have few problems.

NOD to SOD transfers may be more problematic. In general, short-term xfers have fewer problems, all else being equal.

11 Possible benefits if purchased for the environment

	Water Quality Benefits	Ecosystem Impacts	Water Supply Impacts	Water Quality Impacts	Unresolve d Issues ¹²
--	------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------------------

Market acquisition of water/incentives

. .

Purchase reduced demand				
Long-term deals for long term water purch.	+ ¹³	+	+ assuming no injury	Neutral
Long-term deals for short term options	+	+	+ rule	
Short-term purchase program	+	+	+	
Project water purchases Purchase USBR 215 water Purchase DWR interruptible water Purchase turnback water	0	0	+	Neutral
Purchase releases from hydro producers	0	0	0-	- depends on ops
Time-based pricing				
Incentives for GW banking and exchange	0+ Could reduce salt loading	+	+	Neutral
Acquisition of level 4 refuges supplies for banking	0	+	+	Neutral
Increased usage of Colorado R. water via conj. Use or financial incentives	?	+	+	-
Upstream purchases	0+ Depends	0	+ Assuming	Could be negative
(w/ or w/o operational shifts)	On details		No injury rule	Depends on ops

¹² See Footnotes throughout.
13 If purchases in drainage problem areas